

How to Use the IMLS Online Review System National Leadership Grant Panel Review

Introduction

- Access the applications assigned to you by clicking on a link provided in an email message from your IMLS primary contact.
- Enter one whole-number score and one set of comments for each application through the IMLS Online Reviewer System.
- National Leadership Grant (NLG) panel review uses a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).
- Write a constructive and substantive comment of between 30 and 2000 characters in length for each criterion: Goals, Implementation, and Results.
- Your comments and the numeric score you provide for the application should align with each other.
- Address your comments to the applicant, not to IMLS.

Step-by-Step Instructions

1. Verify Access to Applications

Use the link provided to you in an email message from your IMLS primary contact to access the entire group of applications that your panel will review along with the field review comments and scores for each application. The same email message included a list of applications specifically assigned to you. Save at least the applications assigned to you (and more if you wish) to your computer in a secure place that is not accessible to others. Call or email your IMLS primary contact immediately if any applications are missing or if you cannot open them.

Confidentiality in IMLS Peer Review: The information contained in grant applications is strictly confidential. Do not discuss or reveal names, institutions' project activities, or any other information contained in the applications.

2. Verify Access to the IMLS Online Reviewer System

Use the following link to access to the IMLS Online Reviewer System

<https://www.imls.gov/grants/become-reviewer/reviewer-resources/museum-reviewer-resources>

Then scroll to the bottom of the page to "Review Online," and click on the link to access the Online Reviewer System Login:

To login, enter the email address you have on file with IMLS, and use the default password: **password**. An **E-Review Security Screen** will appear. Read this page and click **OK**. Next, create a user account and establish your own password.

Technology Issues

Browsers: Microsoft Internet Explorer® is the only reliable Web browser that will successfully work with the IMLS Online Reviewer System. Unfortunately, the system is not compatible with Mac or Microsoft Vista operating systems or with browsers such as Mozilla Firefox, Apple Safari, Camino, or Opera.

Compatibility View Settings: If you are using Internet Explorer® and experience difficulty in viewing the text in the IMLS Online Reviewer System, try adding www.ims.gov to Compatibility Views under “Tools.”


Passwords: There is no need to remember or rediscover a password you may have created in prior years. We reset all passwords for the IMLS Online Reviewer System to the default at the end of each review cycle, and so all reviewers must use the default to establish new accounts.

3. Assess Potential Conflicts of Interest

After you have created a new password, click **REVIEW GROUPS**, and your review assignment will appear. To access the list of applications assigned to you, click **VIEW**.

Read through your list of applications again to see if there are any potential conflicts of interest. Please see [“Complying With Ethical Obligations and Avoiding Conflicts of Interest.”](#)

CAUTION: Depending on your computer’s operating system and/or the browser you use, you *may* see a screen with a column labeled “Conflicts” with a checkable box by each application. **Do not check any of these boxes** as doing so will disable access to the system and make it impossible for others in your review group to do their work. Instead, call or email your IMLS primary contact immediately if you have a conflict, or what may appear to be a conflict.



Navigation: Home | Contact Us | Help

INSTITUTE of Museum and Library SERVICES

Online Reviewer System

[LOGIN | REVIEW GROUPS | REVIEWER APPLICATIONS]

Reviewer Login
Reviewer Groups
Reviewer Apps
My Online Account
Log Off

Applications List

Conflict of Interest Statement

IMLS Online Reviewer process requires each reviewer to submit a Conflict of Interest Statement prior to reviewing the applications. The Conflict of Interest Statement will be submitted only once.

Please review the details of each application and determine if there are any conflicts of interests. Click on the "Details" button view each application.

If there are no conflicts of interests, leave all check boxes unchecked and "Conflict Comments" text box blank. The reviewer can return to the individual review without going through the statement again.

If there are conflicts of interest for any of the applications, please contact the appropriate IMLS Program Officer to discuss your conflict. When the conflict has been resolved, you may return to this website to continue your review. If you check one or more of the boxes below, you will be locked out of the Online Reviewer System until the conflict is resolved.

By clicking the "SUBMIT CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT" below, I certify that, I have read the ethics training materials included in the Reviewer Handbook and IMLS's Conflict of Interest Statement. To the best of my knowledge and except as otherwise noted below, I have no conflict of interest that would preclude my service to the Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Reviewer Group: R

Log Number	Application Title	Institution Name	Application Review Status	Conflicts
M				<input type="checkbox"/> Details PRINT
M				<input type="checkbox"/> Details PRINT
M				<input type="checkbox"/> Details PRINT
M				<input type="checkbox"/> Details PRINT
M				<input type="checkbox"/> Details PRINT
M				<input type="checkbox"/> Details PRINT

Conflict Comments: 255 char.

SUBMIT CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

MY ONLINE ACCOUNT | LOG OFF

If you have no conflicts of interest with any of the applicants on the list, click **SUBMIT CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT** at the bottom of page.

4. Read Applications

Revisit the NLG Notice of Funding Opportunity at <https://www.ims.gov/nofo/national-leadership-grants-museums-fy16-notice-funding-opportunity>. Then read the applications assigned to you, keeping in mind the three panel review criteria: **Goals, Implementation, and Results**.

You may be reviewing applications in all three project categories: **Learning Experiences, Community Anchors, and Collections Stewardship**. Refer to the [NLG Program Overview](#) for a summary of the goals of each category. Below are the considerations that should guide your evaluations. You can access the [NLG Panel Review Criteria](#) as a separate document to keep handy as you read your applications.

New in 2016:

On the Program Information Sheet (Section 4), applicants were required to select at least one pre-determined performance goal that reflects a measurable change or outcome they intend their project to achieve. For those projects in the Learning Experiences and Community Anchors categories, applicants were then required to select one or more specific performance measure statements associated with specific information that they will be required to collect during the grant period and report at the end of their projects. These pre-determined performance goals statements will help IMLS document the collective achievements of the projects we fund, and they may be found here: <https://www.ims.gov/performance-measure-statements-and-information-learning-and-community-projects>. For projects in Collections Stewardship category, applicants were asked to write their own performance measurement statements to reflect what success will look like upon the completion of their projects. Elements that you as a reviewer will evaluate in the Project Impact section of each application relate directly to performance goal(s) and performance measure statement(s).

5. Draft Comments

You must write a constructive and substantive comment for each of three criteria for each application you review.

To organize your comments, you may use the "[Panel Review Notes Template.](#)" As you think about the review criteria, be sure to consider all the required components of the application as well as relevant Supporting Documents as resources for your evaluation. Draft your comments using a word-processing program for later copying and pasting into the IMLS

Online Reviewer System. Remember that each comment must be between 30 and 2000 characters long.

When drafting your comments ...

- use your professional knowledge and experience to assess the information objectively.
- judge the application on its own merits, and do not base your evaluation on any prior knowledge of an institution.
- if you question the accuracy of any information, call us—not the applicant—to discuss it.

Effective comments ...	Poor comments...
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are presented in a constructive manner. • are specific, and easy to read and understand. • reflect the resources of the institution. • are specific to the individual application. • reflect the numeric score assigned. • reflect the application’s strengths and identify areas for improvement. • are directed to applicants—not IMLS or panel reviewers—for their use. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • simply summarize or paraphrase the applicant’s own words. • make derogatory remarks. • penalize an applicant because you feel the institution does not need the money. • offer or ask for irrelevant or extraneous information. • make vague or overly general statements. • question an applicant’s honesty or integrity.

Make sure your comments justify the scores you provide. A highly complementary comment does not “remove the sting” of a low score, and a negative comment does not “even out” a high one. Comments and scores must complement each other and make sense as a whole.

Below are some examples of **effective** panel reviewer comments:

Goals	
<p>“You clearly identify a critical need within the museum field and propose an innovative solution. The project partners, particularly the State Education Officers, add needed expertise and have been involved in the development of the project. Your intended results are well reasoned, well formulated, achievable, and will provide the field with valuable information. The proposed project is an excellent fit for the NLG-Museums program, Learning Experiences category.”</p>	<p><i>Comment is substantive, addresses the review criteria, and employs a positive tone.</i></p>
<p>“You make a strong case for the museum to partner with the University to provide research expertise and the results clearly meet the needs of your target audience. However, I believe that the problem you identify is one based in your community rather than in the museum field, and does not meet the NLG-Museum program goals of demonstrating broad impact or using an innovative approach. This project more closely matches the goals of the Museums for America grant program and you may want to consider submitting an application to the MFA program in a future year.”</p>	<p><i>Comment correlates with the score of 1 and makes implementable suggestions for securing funding.</i></p>

Implementation	
<p>“Your work plan is clear and outlines specific activities necessary for achieving your goals. I like the series of low-cost experiments intended to provide a direction for more fleshed out versions of those that prove successful.</p> <p>“You might consider adding a few iterations of each experiment to explore its potential for greater success rather than relying on the results from initial attempts.”</p>	<p><i>Comment provides a constructive assessment of the application and suggestions likely to benefit the applicant.</i></p>
Results	
<p>“Your evaluation plan is very thorough and well thought out. The online platform with results from these experiments, resources for duplication, and suggestions for scaling up will be extremely useful. I would have liked to see more robust plans for continuing the dissemination of your work beyond posting the results and resources on the website.”</p>	<p><i>Comment addresses questions from the review criteria.</i></p>

In contrast, below are some examples of **poor** panel reviewer comments:

Goals	
<p>“The museum plans to organize a series of experimental interactive education programs on the topic of income equity and evaluate them to determine which prove most successful in meeting their desired learning outcomes for their high school participants. They will share the results on a project website.”</p>	<p><i>Comment paraphrases the applicant’s own words.</i></p>
Implementation	
<p>“The work plan would be improved by putting in more time onsite.”</p>	<p><i>Comment is very brief and has little value to the applicant.</i></p>
<p>“The design of this research study is wrong-headed and will not yield any useful data. The staff is woefully unprepared and will fail in the execution of this project. Targeting federal funds to this museum is a mistake.”</p>	<p><i>Comment is derogatory and does not provide useful feedback.</i></p>
Results	
<p>“Strong results with very sustainable benefits.”</p>	<p><i>Comment is very brief and has little worth or value to the applicant.</i></p>

The chart below summarizes the most frequently asked questions from NLG reviewers:

Should I consider ... ?	Yes	No
An institution’s financial or staffing needs		X
Whether the project is well planned and the organization has the appropriate resources to complete the project	X	
Whether the applicant has included the information necessary for an adequate evaluation of its merits	X	
Whether a project is new or a resubmission		X
The size or age of the organization		X
An institution’s indirect cost rate		X

6. Assign Scores

Assign a single preliminary score to each application. Use a scale of 1 to 5, as described below.

SCORE DEFINITIONS	
5 – Excellent	The applicant’s response is outstanding and provides exceptional support for the proposed project.
4 – Very Good	The applicant’s response provides solid support for the proposed project.
3 – Good	The applicant’s response is adequate but could be strengthened in its support for the proposed project.
2 – Some Merit	The applicant’s response is flawed and does not adequately support the proposed project.
1 – Inadequate/Insufficient	The applicant’s response is inadequate or provides insufficient information to allow for a confident evaluation.

7. Review Your Work

Review your draft comments and preliminary scores. A review with a missing score or even one missing comment cannot be accepted by the IMLS Online Reviewer System. Adjust your scores, if necessary, to reflect more accurately your written evaluation. Scores should support comments, and comments should justify scores.

8. Enter Your Scores and Comments

Return to the IMLS Online Reviewer System at

<https://www.imls.gov/grants/become-reviewer/reviewer-resources/museum-reviewer-resources>

Then scroll to the bottom of the page to “Review Online,” and click on the link to access the Online Reviewer System Login.

Login with the email address you have on file with IMLS and the password you created in Step 2. Go to your list of assigned applications and click **REVIEW** beside any of them to begin.

Copy and paste your comments into the appropriate blue blocks for each section of the narrative for each application. Be sure to save each comment by clicking **SAVE** at the bottom of the page before you move on to the next one. After entering comments for all

three review criteria, go to the **Application Overview** section and choose a numeric score between 1 and 5 from the **SCORE** dropdown menu. You must enter a summary comment in this section for the system to accept your score. Use the controls on the side or top of the screen to navigate between sections.

NOTE: “Funding Priorities Addressed” is not relevant. You may simply ignore the radio button.

Once you have completed assigning a score and providing comments for each application assigned to you, print a copy of each completed review to keep for your files. Then click on **I AM READY TO SUBMIT THIS REVIEW TO IMLS** to send all your work to IMLS. At this point, you will not be able to re-enter the IMLS Online Reviewer System unless you notify your IMLS primary contact.


For all questions about reviewing, either technical or programmatic, please call or email your IMLS primary contact directly.

9. Manage Your Copies

Keep your applications and a copy of each review sheet until **September 30, 2016**, in case there are questions from IMLS staff. Continue to maintain confidentiality of all applications that you review by keeping electronic and paper copies in a secure place. After September 30, 2016, destroy the applications and the review sheets.


Appendix: Online Reviewer System Troubleshooting

You may encounter an issue where the text displayed in the Online Reviewer System is superimposed on top of buttons or menus, making the buttons difficult or impossible to click. It may look like this:




To resolve this issue, you must access the system using **Internet Explorer (IE) with Compatibility View (or Compatibility Mode) enabled**. In IE 11, this can be accomplished with the following steps:

1. Locate the Settings menu in the top right corner of the browser window and select Compatibility View settings.




2. Type “imls.gov” in the **Add this website:** dialogue box, then click **Add**.



If you are using another version of IE, please refer to the help documentation for your version, or contact IMLS for assistance.

When you submit your comments and scores you may encounter the following error message:



Don't worry. IMLS will have received your scores and comments if you receive this message