

Panel Reviewer Instructions Native American/Native Hawaiian Museum Services Program

Introduction

- You will access the applications assigned to you by clicking on a link provided to you in an email message from your IMLS primary contact.
- You will enter your scores and comments through the IMLS Online Reviewer System.
- Native American/Native Hawaiian Museum Services (NANH) panel review uses a 5-point scale for each of three sections of the application narrative: Project Justification, Project Work Plan, and Project Results.
- Scores must be in whole numbers only. Fractions, ranges, decimals, and zeroes are not allowed.
- You must write a constructive and substantive comment of between 30 and 2000 characters in length for each section of the application narrative.
- All three sections of the narrative have equal weight and are equally important in identifying the overall strengths and weaknesses of an application.
- Address your comments to the applicant, not to IMLS or to panel reviewers.
- Each comment should reflect the numeric score you provide for the corresponding section of the narrative.

Step-by-Step Instructions

1. Verify Access to Applications

Use the link provided to you in an email message from your IMLS primary contact to access the applications assigned to you. Make sure you see all the applications referenced in the email, and then open and download each to your computer in a secure place that is not accessible to others. Call or email your IMLS primary contact immediately if any applications are missing or if you cannot open them.

Confidentiality in IMLS Peer Review: The information contained in grant applications is strictly confidential. Do not discuss or reveal names, institutions' project activities, or any other information contained in the applications.

2. Verify Access to IMLS Online Reviewer System

Use the following link to verify that you have access to the IMLS Online Reviewer System:

https://www.imls.gov/grants/become-reviewer/reviewer-resources/museum-reviewer-resources

Then scroll to the bottom of the page to "Review Online," and click on the link to access the Online Reviewer System Login.



To login, enter the email address you have on file with IMLS, and use the default password: **password**. An **E-Review Security Screen** will appear. Read this page and click **OK**. Next, create a user account and establish your own password.

3. Assess Potential Conflicts of Interest

After you have created a new password, click **REVIEW GROUPS**, and your review assignment will appear. To access the list of applications assigned to you, click **VIEW**.

Read through your list of applications again to see if there are any potential conflicts of interest. Please see "<u>Complying with Ethical Obligations and Avoiding Conflicts of Interest</u>."

CAUTION: Depending on your computer's operating system and/or the browser you use, you *may* see a screen with a column labeled "Conflicts" with a checkable box by each application. **Do not check any of these boxes** as doing so will disable access to the system and make it impossible for others in your review group to do their work. Instead, call or email your IMLS primary contact immediately if you have a conflict, or what may appear to be a conflict.



If you have no conflicts of interest with any of the applicants on the list, click **SUBMIT CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT** at the bottom of page.

4. Read Applications

Revisit the NANH Notice of Funding Opportunities at <u>https://www.imls.gov/nofo/native-american-native-hawaiian-museum-services-fy17-notice-funding-opportunity</u>. Then read the applications, keeping in mind the review criteria for each section of the narrative. You will not need to reference each bullet point in your comments, but these questions should



guide your thinking about the strengths and weaknesses of each application. You can also access these <u>review criteria as a separate document</u> to keep handy as you read your applications.

About Performance Measurement

On the Program Information Sheet (Section 4), applicants were required to select at least one pre-determined performance goal that reflects a measurable change or outcome they intend their project to achieve. For those projects in the Learning and Community categories, applicants were then required to select one or more specific performance measure statements associated with specific information that they will be required to collect during the grant period and report at the end of their projects. These predetermined performance goals statements will help IMLS document the collective achievements of the projects we fund, and they may be found here: https://www.imls.gov/performance-measure-statements-and-information-learning-andcommunity-projects. For projects in Content and Collections category, applicants were asked to write their own performance measurement statements to reflect what success will look like upon the completion of their projects. Elements that you as a reviewer will evaluate in the Project Impact section of each application relate directly to performance goal(s) and performance measure statement(s).

5. Draft Comments

You must write a constructive and substantive comment for each section of the narrative for each application you review. All three sections of the narrative have equal weight and are equally important in identifying the overall strengths and weaknesses of an application.

To organize notes for writing your comments, you may wish to use the "<u>Panel Review Notes</u> <u>Template</u>." Your final review comments should be written in complete sentences and constitute strong, coherent, constructive, and substantive assessments of each section of the application narrative.

Think about the review criteria for each section of the application narrative, and be sure to consider all the required components of the application as well as relevant Supporting Documents as resources for your assessment. Draft your comments using a word-processing program for later copying and pasting into the IMLS Online Reviewer System. Remember that each comment must be between 30 and 2000 characters long.

When drafting your comments ...

- use your professional knowledge and experience to assess the information objectively.
- judge the application on its own merits, and do not base your evaluation on any prior knowledge of an institution.
- if you question the accuracy of any information, call us—not the applicant—to discuss it.



| ffective comments Poor comments | |
|---|---|
| are presented in a constructive manner. are both substantive and easy to read and understand. reflect the resources of the institution. are specific to the individual application. reflect the numeric score assigned. highlight the application's strengths and identify areas for improvement. are directed to applicants—not IMLS or panel reviewers—for their use. | simply summarize or paraphrase the applicant's own words. make derogatory remarks. penalize an applicant because you feel the institution does not need the money. offer or ask for irrelevant or extraneous information. make vague or overly general statements. question an applicant's honesty or integrity. |

Make sure your comments justify the scores you provide. A highly complementary comment does not "remove the sting" of a low score, and a negative comment does not "even out" a high one. Comments and scores must complement each other and make sense as a whole.

Below are some examples of **effective** field reviewer comments:

| Project Justification | |
|---|---|
| "You clearly identify the project need and propose an innovative solution, and have done a good job of working with the community to identify future goals. The project partners add needed expertise and have been involved in the development of the project. Your intended results are well reasoned, well formulated, and achievable. The proposed project will definitely improve the tribe's museum services and is an excellent fit with the Native American/Native Hawaiian Museum Services program." | Comment is substantive, addresses the review criteria, and employs a positive tone. |
| "A strength of the application is the recognition of the initial development of collections care and management policies intended to manage the museum's extensive collections. With this, the need for a collections management project seems consistent with institutional priorities and community interests. A major weakness to the success of this goal is the lack of expertise on staff regarding appropriate archival management and digital collections management skills. The proposal notes that a staff member will provide oversight and lead a project intern whose duties will be to inventory the collections. However her resume provides no indication as to her skill set in collections management or care. For a better opportunity of success, the museum should refer to recommendations highlighted in their CAP report suggesting that staff take courses on collections management and care and/or work directly with museum professionals to create an improved understanding of collections care procedures and policies." | Comment correlates with the score of 3 and makes implementable suggestions for improving the project. |



| Project Work Plan | |
|---|------------------------|
| "Your work plan is clear and outlines specific activities necessary for achieving | Comment provides a |
| your goals. I applaud you for including well qualified consultants who are well | constructive |
| versed in developing exhibitions and interpretive planning." | assessment of the |
| | application and |
| "You might consider adding additional prototype testing during the exhibition | suggestions likely to |
| development process. This will allow you to assess the proposed hands-on | benefit the applicant. |
| activities and displays and make necessary alterations before fabrication." | |
| "Although the plan to purchase additional storage shelves/systems should be | Comment correlates |
| straightforward, your proposal demonstrates some problems. Some of the | with score of 2 and |
| storage equipment suggested for purchase is off-the-shelf, and may not be best | makes specific |
| suited for a museum environment due to off-gassing concerns. There are | implementable |
| companies that supply shelving and cabinets specifically designed for museums | suggestions for |
| and repositories. Getting quotes from these companies would be a plus and will | improving the project. |
| assist with your planning efforts. Consider purchasing closed cabinets and shelving | |
| that are not only fire-resistant, but water-resistant, too." | |
| Project Results | |
| "The proposal provides strong evidence that the project will positively impact the | Comment addresses |
| participants involved and the greater tribal community who desire a more | questions from the |
| accurate understanding of tribal culture and history. This particular interaction | review criteria |
| between traditional practitioners and community members continues a pattern of | |
| dynamic community collaborations, therefore fulfilling important intended project | |
| outcomes. The combination of outcome based models for project evaluation with | |
| the detailed oversight of staff committed to the project promotes confidence that | |
| the project will successfully achieve the proposed results." | |

In contrast, below are some examples of **poor** field reviewer comments:

| Project Justification | |
|---|--|
| "Primary emphasis is placed on a need for collections storage. A discussion of the generation of educational materials is also presented, but to a lesser degree. The project will be two years in length." | Comment paraphrases the applicant's own words. |
| "Is revenue and expenditures report mandatory on Program Information Sheet? If so, this section was lacking information." | Comment addresses status of application component. Reviewer should have contacted IMLS for clarification prior to including statement in review. |
| Project Work Plan | |
| "The design of the exhibition is boring and not even remotely relevant to the cultural center. The staff is woefully unprepared and will fail in the execution of this project. Targeting federal funds to this museum is a mistake." | Comment is derogatory and does not provide useful feedback. |



| Project Results | |
|--|---|
| "Strong results with very sustainable benefits." | Comment is very brief and has little worth or value to the applicant. |

The chart below summarizes the most frequently asked questions from NANH panel reviewers:

| Should I consider ? | | No |
|--|---|----|
| Whether a project strengthens museum services | Х | |
| An institution's financial or staffing needs | | Х |
| Whether the project is well planned and the organization has the appropriate resources to complete the project | Х | |
| Whether the applicant has included the information necessary for an adequate evaluation of its merits | Х | |
| Whether a project is new or a resubmission | | Х |
| The size or age of the organization | | Х |
| An institution's indirect cost rate | | Х |

6. Assign Scores

Assign a preliminary score for the overall project keeping all three sections of the application narrative in mind. Use a scale of 1 to 5, as described below. Use only whole numbers; do not use fractions, ranges, decimals, or zeroes.

| SCORE DEFINITIONS | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 5 – Excellent | The applicant's response is outstanding and |
| | provides exceptional support for the proposed |
| | project. |
| 4 – Very Good | The applicant's response provides solid support |
| | for the proposed project. |
| 3 – Good | The applicant's response is adequate but could be |
| | strengthened in its support for the proposed |
| | project. |
| 2 – Some Merit | The applicant's response is flawed and does not |
| | adequately support the proposed project. |
| 1 – Inadequate/Insufficient | The applicant's response is inadequate or provides |
| | insufficient information to allow for a confident |
| | evaluation. |



7. Review Your Work

Review your draft comments and preliminary scores. A review with even one missing score or comment cannot be accepted by the IMLS Online Reviewer System. Adjust your scores, if necessary, to reflect more accurately your written evaluation. Scores should support comments, and comments should justify scores.

8. Enter Scores and Comments

Return to the IMLS Online Reviewer System at <u>https://www.imls.gov/grants/become-</u> reviewer/reviewer-resources/museum-reviewer-resources

Then scroll to the bottom of the page to "Review Online," and click on the link to access the Online Reviewer System Login.

Login with the email address you have on file with IMLS and the password you created in Step 2. Go to your list of assigned applications and click **REVIEW** beside any of them to begin.

Copy and paste your comments into the appropriate blue blocks for each section of the narrative for each application. Be sure to save each comment by clicking **SAVE** at the bottom of the page before you move on to the next one. After entering comments in all three sections, go to the Application Overview section and choose a numeric score between 1 and 5 from the **SCORE** dropdown menu. Use the controls on the side or top of the screen to navigate between sections.

Once you have completed assigning scores and providing comments for each application assigned to you, we recommend that you print a copy of each completed review to keep for your files. Then click on **I AM READY TO SUBMIT THIS REVIEW TO IMLS** to send all your work to IMLS.

At this point, you will not be able to re-enter the IMLS Online Reviewer System unless you notify your IMLS primary contact.

For all questions about reviewing, either technical or programmatic, please call or email your IMLS primary contact directly.

9. Manage Your Copies

Keep your applications and a copy of each review sheet until **September 30, 2017,** in case there are questions from IMLS staff. Continue to maintain confidentiality of all applications that you review by keeping electronic and paper copies in a secure place. After September 30, 2017, destroy the applications and review sheets, notes, and note templates.



Appendix: Online Reviewer System Troubleshooting

When using certain browers, including versions of Internet Explorer, Chrome, Safari, or Opera, you may see text displayed in the Online Reviewer System superimposed on top of buttons or menus, making the buttons difficult or impossible to click. It may look like this:

| Please check that your scores are correctly entered, some keyboard and mouse navigation actions may change the |
|---|
| 2000nbers you entered. |
| REAVENMEER that the Score value is selected and that the length of the Comment is between |
| Save changes frequently. For security poreships on the security will time out after 15 minutes of inactivity. |
| • Each of the Review Criteria must be assigned a positive integer score and must have a comment of at least 30 characters entered in order to be considered complete. |
| • Reviewers represent by submitting this review that they do not have a conflict of interest with the applicant. |
| Reviewers can leave and come back during the process. |

If you use **Internet Explorer (IE) with Compatibility View (or Compatibility Mode) enabled**, you may resolve this issue with the following steps:

1. Locate the Settings menu in the top right corner of the browser window and select Compatibility View settings.





2. Type "imls.gov" in the Add this website: dialogue box, then click Add.

| Change Compatibility View | v Settings |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Add this website: | Add |
| Websites you've added to Compat | ibility View: |
| imls.gov | Remove |
| ✓ Display intranet sites in Compa | bbility View |
| Use Microsoft compatibility lists | applet Explorer privacy statement |

If you are using another version of IE, please refer to the help documentation for your version, or contact IMLS for assistance.

When you submit your comments and scores you may encounter the following error message:

| I AM READY TO SUBMIT THIS REVIEW TO IMLS |
|---|
| Click on the "view" button to move to the review form for each application. After you have completed entering the scores and comments for each application in the current review group, you can submit the completed review to IMLS. |
| Each of the Review Criteria must be assigned a positive integer score and must have a comment entered in order to be considered complete. Please also note that after the review is submitted to IMLS, you will not be able to view or modify the review. |
| Please print a copy of each review for your file and retain the copy for 60 days after you submit your E-Review. |
| Error occured while processing request: |
| Due to an internal error - an email was not successfully sent. |
| HTTP Error: The "SendUsing" configuration value is invalid. |
| System.Exception: Due to an internal error - an email was not successfully sent. |
| HTTP Error: The "SendUsing" configuration value is invalid. at IMLS.AppSettings.SendMail |
| (String sFrom, String sTo, String sSubject, String sBody, Boolean bOverrideSendBlock) in |
| C:\Inetpub\wwwroot\GrantApps\Classes\AppSettings.vb:line 121 at |
| IMLS.ctrlReviewerApplications.SendSubmitEmail(String astrReviewerEmail, String |
| astrReviewerFullName, String astrReviewGroup, String astrAdditionalComments) in |
| C:\Inetpub\wwwroot\GrantApps\Controls\ctrlReviewerApplications.ascx.vb:line 288 at |
| IMLS.ctrlReviewerApplications.cmdIMLSSubmit_Click(Object sender, EventArgs e) in |
| C:\Inetpub\wwwroot\GrantApps\Controls\ctrlReviewerApplications.ascx.vb:line 262 |
| |
| MY ONLINE ACCOUNT LOG OFF |
| |

Don't worry. IMLS will have received your scores and comments if you receive this message.